From remotely-sensed solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence to ecosystem structure, function, and service: Part II—Harnessing data
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Abstract

Although our observing capabilities of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) have been growing rapidly, the quality and consistency of SIF datasets are still in an active stage of research and development. As a result, there are considerable inconsistencies among diverse SIF datasets at all scales and the widespread applications of them have led to contradictory findings. The present review is the second of the two companion reviews, and data oriented. It aims to (1) synthesize the variety, scale, and uncertainty of existing SIF datasets, (2) synthesize the diverse applications in the sector of ecology, agriculture, hydrology, climate, and socioeconomics, and (3) clarify how such data inconsistency superimposed with the theoretical complexities laid out in (Sun et al., 2023) may impact process interpretation of various applications and contribute to inconsistent findings. We emphasize that accurate interpretation of the functional relationships between SIF and other ecological indicators is contingent...
1 | INTRODUCTION

The rapid growth in research of solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) remote sensing in the past two decades was primarily initiated by serendipitous advances in SIF observing capabilities from spaceborne platforms since the early 2010s (Frankenberg et al., 2011; Guanter et al., 2007, 2012; Joiner et al., 2011). Spaceborne SIF retrievals in turn have also generated momentum to push for technological advances to observe and even image SIF at much finer spatial and temporal resolutions with airborne and proximal sensing systems (Frankenberg et al., 2018; Grossmann et al., 2018; Gu, Wood, et al., 2019; Rascher et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Zarco-Tejada et al., 2012), resulting in rapid expansion in applications of SIF in diverse research sectors (e.g., ecology, agriculture, hydrology, climate, and socioeconomics). These developments, while exciting, are marred by considerable inconsistencies among diverse SIF datasets and contradictory findings in applying them. These issues, which represent “growing pains”, are due not only to scale-related challenges common in Earth system science studies, but also multiple factors specific to SIF measurements/products summarized below:

1. Lack of specifically designed SIF measurement instrumentation/mission. So far, all available satellite SIF products are from space missions that were designed to monitor atmospheric trace gases. Ground-based SIF systems use generic spectroradiometers; most charge-coupled devices of these spectroradiometers are not specifically designed for SIF measurements. This indicates that current SIF systems, both spaceborne and in-situ, are not optimized for SIF monitoring.

2. Observable versus unobservable but ecophysiologically relevant SIF. The at-sensor SIF signal that is directly measured does not equal to the total ChlF emission that is directly related to ecophysiological processes. Even when SIF is retrieved accurately at specific wavelengths, it is not certain whether they are equally informative as the total ChlF emission (which is broadband quantity, that is, integrated over the full spectra of fluorescence emission) that is directly related to photosynthetic electron transport and CO$_2$ assimilation (Gu, Wood, et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019, equations 6–7 in Sun et al., 2023). Furthermore, a substantial portion of the total ChlF emission is reabsorbed/scattered within a canopy and only a fraction escapes from the canopy to be detected by a sensor (section 3.1 in Sun et al., 2023). Unfortunately, the total ChlF emission is currently unobservable.

3. Correlation versus causal inference. SIF data availability and applications far outpace the growth in mechanistic understanding of SIF dynamics and their relationships with ecophysiological processes of interest to broad scientific communities. Currently, SIF research activities have been dominated by correlational analyses, while causal effects have been rarely established. This is primarily caused by the unique challenges transferring knowledge from laboratory experiments to actual field conditions under natural environment, from molecular to regional/global scales (Porcar-Castell et al., 2014, 2021), and from the traditional plant physiology to remote sensing communities.

Sun et al. (2023) attempts to provide theoretical guidance to enable mechanistic causal inference in SIF research. It demonstrates, from theoretical perspectives, that (a) ChlF emission is interactively impacted by a myriad of structural and functional processes at the leaf and canopy levels, and (b) how such impacts, when carefully quantified and disentangled, can be used to infer terrestrial ecosystem structure, function, and services. However, the theoretical inferences envisioned in Sun et al. (2023) can only be achieved with the support of high-quality SIF observations at relevant scales/resolutions.

The present paper, as a companion review to Sun et al. (2023), aims to provide clarifications on the “growing pains” in SIF research related to the three issues identified above. It is not our intention to offer definitive solutions to these issues in this review. Rather, our intention is to place the inconsistencies and contradictory findings of past SIF research from the aspect of unique characteristics of available SIF datasets. Further, we attempt to address the forward,
inference, and innovation questions laid out in the first companion review (Sun et al., 2023) from the data perspective.

A few recent synthesis studies have attempted to summarize or intercompare SIF products/measurements from different spaceborne platforms (e.g., Doughty et al., 2022; Parazoo et al., 2019) or from proximal instruments (Aasen et al., 2019; Cendrero-Mateo et al., 2019; Pacheco-Labrador et al., 2019). For in-depth review and detailed discussion of instrument configuration and retrieval methods, we refer readers to these previous reviews. However, it still remains unclear the extent to which discrepancies and/or uncertainties in SIF products/measurements may confound the inference of ecosystem structure, function, and service. Compared to previous reviews, the major contribution of this paper is to provide thorough discussion of (1) how the variety, scale and uncertainty in SIF datasets may impact process interpretation for various applications and contribute to inconsistency across findings, (2) efforts needed to reconcile such inconsistencies from the data perspective, integrated with the theoretical angle (Sun et al., 2023), and (3) existing data gaps in SIF observations and required innovations to advance SIF applications in ecosystem structure, function, and service under climate change.

2 | DATA: VARIETY, SCALE, AND UNCERTAINTY IN SIF MEASUREMENTS

2.1 | Spaceborne SIF retrievals

The first retrievals of SIF were at the far-red wavelengths, achieved regionally with the Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MERIS; Guanter et al., 2007) and globally with high spectral resolution spectrometer (i.e., <0.1 nm) from the Greenhouse gases Observing SATellite (GOSAT; Frankenberg et al., 2011; Guanter et al., 2012; Joiner et al., 2011). These retrievals were somewhat limited in terms of spatial resolution or revisit time. The next advance demonstrated that SIF could be retrieved with lower spectral resolution instruments (spectral resolution of ~0.5 nm), such as the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment 2 (GOME-2) and the SCanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric CHartographY (SCIAMACHY; Joiner et al., 2013; Khosravi et al., 2015; Köhler et al., 2015; Sanders et al., 2016; van Schaik et al., 2020). Since then, higher spatial resolution SIF retrievals have also been produced using the Orbiting Carbon Observatory 2 (OCO-2) and the Chinese Carbon Dioxide Observation Satellite Mission (TanSat) at ~2 km resolution (Doughty et al., 2022; Du et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2018). Most recently, moderate spatial resolution (~5 km) with a daily revisit time was achieved with the TROPospheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) onboard Sentinel 5p (Guanter et al., 2015; Köhler, Frankenberg, et al., 2018). Retrieval of red SIF has also been accomplished with GOME-2 and TROPOMI (Joiner et al., 2016; Köhler et al., 2020; Wolanin et al., 2015). For detailed cross-instrument comparison and discussion of the impact of instrument characterization on SIF retrievals, we refer readers to Doughty et al. (2022), Joiner et al. (2020), and Parazoo et al. (2019).

Figure 1 and Table S1a summarize the past, current, and future missions with SIF capabilities along with their instrument characteristics. All of these instruments/missions, with the exception of the Fluorescence Explorer (FLEX; expected launch in 2025, Drusch et al., 2017), were designed for measurements of trace gases and greenhouse gases (GHGs). Instruments designed to measure GHGs (GOSAT, OCO-2, TanSat) typically only have spectral coverage in the wavelengths where far-red SIF is emitted. All of the current SIF-capable instruments are in Low Earth Orbit (LEO). Several of the planned instruments in Geostationary Earth Orbit (GEO) will be able to retrieve SIF as well, such as Tropospheric Emissions: Monitoring of Pollution (TEMPO) and the Copernicus Sentinel-4.

2.2 | Value-added global SIF products derived from native spaceborne SIF retrievals

Existing native spaceborne SIF products are restricted to either low spatial resolution, incomplete global coverage, low temporal

FIGURE 1 Characteristics of the past (grey), current (pink), and future (light blue) missions with solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF)-observing capability. Font colors differentiate geostationary (GEO; green) from Low Earth Orbit (LEO; black) missions. Value-added SIF products (purple) are grouped in the dash-line boxes based on their spatial and temporal resolution; the corresponding native SIF retrievals based on which value-added products were developed are provided in Table S1b. ‘p.’ denotes "present".
resolution, short temporal coverage, or a combination of these. For example, Figure 1 reveals a general trade-off between spatial and temporal resolutions of existing native spaceborne SIF products. These limitations impede operational SIF applications, for example, real-time monitoring of vegetation growth in individual farms and forest management, or long-term monitoring of global ecosystem production and carbon budget. To overcome these limitations, a number of "value-added" SIF products have been derived based on native SIF retrievals (summarized in Table S1b). These products include RSIF (Gentine & Alemohammad, 2018), SIF005 (Wen et al., 2020), SIF02.005 (Yu et al., 2019), GOSIF (Li & Xiao, 2019b), CSIF (Zhang, Joiner, Alemohammad, et al., 2018), LT_SIFc (Wang, Zhang, et al., 2022), and other fine-resolution SIF products downscaled from GOME-2 (Duveiller et al., 2020; Duveiller & Cescatti, 2016) or TROPOMI (Gensheimer et al., 2022; Turner et al., 2020). These products are derived from different native SIF products, and have disparate spatial and temporal resolutions as well as temporal coverage (Figure 1; Table S1b). Nevertheless, their derivations share a similar strategy. This strategy basically (1) establishes a predictive model with native SIF retrievals (i.e., the model training step), and (2) estimates SIF at finer spatial/temporal resolutions and contiguous spatial coverage utilizing this trained predictive model as well as ancillary datasets available at the same fine spatial/temporal resolutions and spatial coverage (i.e., the model prediction step).

Here the predictive model can be either (semi-)process-based (e.g., the light use efficiency LUE-type equation) or derived from machine-learning (ML; e.g., neural networks, regression trees). Detailed discussions on more nuanced differences in technical implementations among these products can be found in Wen et al. (2020). These products have demonstrated overall capability in revealing the spatial and seasonal patterns in native SIF retrievals at the global scale, and have been widely applied to tackle a variety of issues in ecological, agricultural, hydrological, and socioeconomic sectors (Section 3), albeit with varying performance depending on regions or biomes or application types.

2.3 In-situ SIF measurements and retrievals

Various in-situ SIF systems have been developed to acquire top-of-canopy (TOC) SIF. These systems include both stationary ground tower and mobile airborne systems (e.g., Unmanned Aerial Vehicles, UAV). The former allows continuous, high temporal resolution acquisition, while the latter adds spatial mapping capability. So far, in-situ SIF systems are mostly low-cost non-imaging systems, which broadly fall into two configurations (Figure 2). In the bi-hemispherical configuration, both downwelling and upwelling irradiance are collected using a cosine-corrected fiber (e.g., FAME, which has developed...
both tower and UAV versions, Chang, Guanter, et al., 2020; Chang, Zhou, et al., 2020; Gu, Wood, et al., 2019). Recently, a low-cost bi-hemispherical sensor that captures multiple ultra-narrow wavelengths of far-red SIF using photodiodes was developed (4S-SIF, Kim et al., 2022). In the hemispherical-conical configuration, there are alternate acquisitions of hemispherical downwelling irradiance and conical upwelling radiance, either using a switch that alternates incoming irradiances between two fixed fibers (e.g., FloX, FluoSpec2, Yang, Shi, et al., 2018) or prisms that rotate acquisition of irradiances among different channels to collect dark currents, downwelling and upwelling measurements (e.g., PhotoSpec: Grossmann et al., 2018; rotoprism, Berry & Kornfeld, 2019; Kim et al., 2021). Both configurations now have commercial sources with the bi-hemispherical FAME under production by Campbell Scientific and the hemispherical-conical FloX produced by JB-Hyperspectral GmbH; recently, a multiplexed configuration of the FloX (OctoFloX) with multiple fibers for multiple target acquisition was developed by JB-Hyperspectral. UAV systems of both the bi-hemispherical (Chang, Zhou, et al., 2020) and the hemispherical-conical (switch-based setup) have also been developed (Bendig et al., 2018; MacArthur et al., 2014; Wang, Suomalainen, et al., 2021). For in-depth review of specific instrumentation configurations and sensors, we refer readers to Pacheco-Labrador et al. (2019). In addition to these non-imaging (point-based) SIF systems, a hyperspectral imaging SIF sensor is commercially available from Headwall Photonics (Zarco-Tejada et al., 2013). Selection of an appropriate in-situ SIF system depends upon specific applications (Section 3), and their required resolutions (in time and space) and signal-to-noise ratio, since each configuration comes with different strengths and weaknesses (summarized in Table S2).

Selection of retrieval methods depends upon not only system configuration, but also specifications of the SIF spectrometer utilized and the temporal frequency of acquisition (detailed summary in Table S3). Common SIF retrieval methods are based upon Fraunhofer Line Discrimination (FLD; Plascky & Gabriel, 1975), Spectral Fitting Method (SFM; Meroni & Colombo, 2006), Singular Vector Decomposition (SVD equivalent to Principal Component Analysis PCA; Guanter et al., 2013), and differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS; Platt & Stutz, 2008). FLD, SFM and DOAS retrieve SIF using single paired up/downwelling spectra, while SVD requires a training set of multiple SIF-free (or downwelling) spectra. The accuracy of SVD improves with higher temporal frequency of acquisition to obtain multiple downwelling spectra under similar sunlight conditions as the upwelling measurement (Chang, Guanter, et al., 2020). FLD, SFM, and SVD can be used to retrieve SIF from the broader telluric O2 absorption features, while DOAS and SVD can be used to retrieve SIF from narrow solar Fraunhofer lines. Fraunhofer line-based retrievals using DOAS or SVD require a high spectral resolution (e.g., ±0.3 FWHM) while retrieving SIF from O2 bands using SFM, FLD or SVD are much less stringent in terms of spectral resolution. Because FLD and SFM use paired spectra, these methods are more prone to error under variable cloudy skies where ambient light conditions can change between acquisition of downwelling and upwelling spectra, which greatly influence the telluric O2 bands by distorting the edges of the O2 absorption features. Narrowing the retrieval fitting window can effectively alleviate spectral distortion around the O2 bands for both SFM and SVD (Chang, Guanter, et al., 2020). Recently, SIF retrievals based on partial least-squares regression (PLS) show lower sensitivity to spectral distortion resulting from atmospheric reabsorption (Naethe et al., 2022). Variable sky conditions do not influence the solar Fraunhofer lines much, but the resulting SIF retrievals can be noisier since the narrow spectral window contains relatively weaker irradiance and thus weaker reflected radiance. For detailed discussion and intercomparisons of these retrieval methods, we refer readers to the works of Cendrero-Mateo et al. (2019) and Chang, Guanter, et al. (2020).

### 3 | APPLICATIONS

Solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence research activities in the past two decades have been primarily focused on investigating SIF-gross primary production (GPP) relationships across scales and under different environmental conditions. So far, research findings have shown both consensuses and discrepancies. In this section, we first offer our perspectives on factors that led to such consensuses and discrepancies, from both the theory (Sun et al., 2023) and data aspects (Section 3.1).

Although understanding and teasing out the biological SIF-GPP connections from uncertain datasets is a necessary first step and much work is still needed at this step, broad applications of SIF have started. By harnessing the theoretical understanding and data revolution of SIF, a variety of new research opportunities and possibilities have arisen in ecological, hydrological, agricultural, and socioeconomic applications (a graphical summary in Figure 3). In Sections 3.2—3.8, we attempt to clarify how SIF data uncertainty superimposed with the theoretical complexities laid out in Sun et al. (2023) may impact process interpretation of various applications.

#### 3.1 | Interpretation of SIF measurements, its relationship with GPP, and dependence of their relationships to environmental variations

**3.1.1 | The theoretical perspective**

Table 1 and Figure 4 summarize consensuses and discrepancies of research findings regarding the SIF-GPP relationship. Here, we employ equations derived in Sun et al. (2023) to theoretically interpret these consensuses and discrepancies. Specifically, equation 10 in Sun et al. (2023; copied below for convenience) reveals the complexity of the SIF-GPP relationship, which critically depends on CO2 diffusion (controlled by stomatal and mesophyll conductances) and the redox state of PSII (and NPQ, Sun et al., 2023).
At seasonal scales and beyond and/or aggregated spatial scales, variations in CO$_2$ diffusion and the redox state of PSII can be averaged out, and much weaker than variations in the at-sensor SIF, that is, $F_1(\lambda_F)$, resulting in an approximately linear scaling with GPP (denoted as $\text{GPP}_p$, the canopy total GPP). Further, at these scales, variation in leaf area index (LAI) and photosynthetic pigments (i.e., arising from phenological changes or different biome characteristics) is the primary driver of $F_1(\lambda_F)$ dynamics, via impact on both light harvesting and canopy structure (equation 8 in Sun et al., 2023); meanwhile, variation in LAI and photosynthetic pigments also play dominant role in controlling GPP at these scales, and such information is carried largely by $F_1(\lambda_F)$ (although not completely, Sun et al., 2023), resulting in coherent SIF and GPP variations. In contrast, at shorter time scales when LAI and photosynthetic pigments content remain relatively stable, the impact of variations in the redox state (and NPQ) and $C_\gamma$ (CO$_2$ partial pressure at chloroplast) on GPP is largely due to their instantaneous response to PAR. Moreover, the scattering/re-absorption of ChlF emission (e.g., can be represented by $k_{\gamma,4}$, the extinction coefficient of ChlF emission under Beer’s law) can also vary instantaneously with sun-canopy-sensor geometry, PAR intensity, and/or other environmental stress. These factors collectively lead to deviation from a linear scaling between SIF and GPP at shorter time scales. Stronger linearity in C4 than C3 plants reported from leaf to global scales is primarily due to the segregation of CO$_2$ diffusion effects from SIF-GPP coupling in C4 plants. Crops and deciduous forests exhibit stronger SIF-GPP coupling than other biomes (e.g., evergreen broadleaf forests), because their distinct seasonality in LAI drives the co-variation of SIF and GPP, with the impact of CO$_2$ diffusion and the redox state of PSII being smoothed out (Magney et al., 2020).

Regarding the debate on the existence of biome-universal SIF-GPP scaling, equation 10 in Sun et al. (2023) suggests that any biome-dependent variables (e.g., $k_{\gamma}$, the vertical extinction coefficient of PAR $k_{\text{PAR}}$, or the redox state of PSII) or parameters (e.g., $\beta$ and $\Gamma^*$, denoting the canopy-mean relative contribution of pigments associated with PSII and the chloroplastic CO$_2$ compensation point, respectively) can prevent a biome-universal scaling. However, these individual processes may have a compensatory effect, resulting in an apparent biome-universal scaling. The degree of the compensatory effect depends on time scale, spatial scale, and stress types/conditions, which currently remains a critical knowledge gap and requires dedicated future research.

Equation 10 in Sun et al. (2023) also suggests that SIF-GPP coupling (i.e., often characterized by $R^2$) and/or scaling (i.e., often characterized by the linear regression slope) can diverge or converge between stress and normal conditions, depending on time and spatial scales under investigation as well as stress intensities and durations. For example, at aggregated temporal–spatial scales and during prolonged drought/heatwaves, SIF and GPP decline can co-occur due to loss of photosynthetic pigments and LAI, and therefore exhibit positive coupling as under normal conditions; in contrast, at local or shorter time scales or during brief stress episodes that are too short to induce any structural changes, SIF and GPP trajectories can decouple due to stomatal/mesophyll regulations on CO$_2$ diffusion and redistribution of energy dissipation among photochemical quenching (PO)-NPQ-SIF (Han, Chang, et al., 2022; Martini et al., 2022). Also, the assumed constant parameters (e.g., $\beta$, $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$, $k_{\text{PAR}}$, the latter two denoting the maximal photochemical quantum yield of PSII and the ratio of the rate constant for internal conversion to the rate constant for ChlF emission, respectively) that represent internal properties of plants can also change under stress, which can reshape the SIF-GPP relationship from

\[ \text{GPP}_p = \frac{k_{\text{PAR}} + 1}{\epsilon_{10}(\lambda_F)} \left[ 1 - e^{-\left(k_{\gamma} + k_{\text{PAR}}\right)LAI} \right] \cdot \Phi_{\text{PSII}} \left( 1 + k_{\text{DF}} \right) \frac{1 - \Phi_{\text{PSII}}}{\text{Constant}} \times \frac{\text{C}_\gamma - \Gamma^*}{4C_\gamma + 8\Gamma^*} \left( \frac{1 - x}{3} \right) \times F_1(\lambda_F) \times \left( C_3 - \frac{\Gamma^*}{\beta} \right) \]

\[ \frac{\alpha_{\text{PAR}} \cdot (\beta + 1)}{s_0(\lambda_F) + \zeta_0(\lambda_F) - \frac{\beta}{\beta}} \]
normal conditions. For example, $\Phi_{\text{PSII}}$ (the maximal photochemical quantum yields for PSII) is assumed to be conserved across non-stressed plants (Björkman & Demmig, 1987) but can deviate from its assumed constant 0.83 under stress. In addition, the redox reaction (represented by $q_{\text{uw}}$, the fraction of open PSII reaction centers under the lake model) is sensitive to temperature, while equation 10 in Sun et al. (2023) only captured the first-order effect of $q_{\text{uw}}$ as a function of PAR (detailed discussion in Sun et al., 2023). This factor can further complicate the SIF-GPP relationship beyond what equation 10 currently suggests.

Further, mixed findings were reported for the relationships of quantum yields between SIF and GPP. This can be explained by $\Phi_{\text{PSII}} = \frac{q_{\text{uw}}q_{\text{uw}}(1+k_{\text{uw}})}{1-q_{\text{uw}}}$ (derived as the ratio of equations 16 and 14 in Gu, Han, et al., 2019), which reveals the impact of redox states $q_{\text{uw}}$ on the ratio of quantum yields of GPP over SIF. Further complications include the sensitivity of $q_{\text{uw}}$ to temperature and water stress (stated above, and detailed discussion in Sun et al., 2023).

### 3.1.2 The data perspective

Superimposed on the mechanistic complexities of light and carbon reactions of photosynthesis, biases and uncertainties in observations of SIF and GPP can significantly confound interpretation of their relationships and how such relationships respond to environmental variations. Figure 4 synthesizes the GPP-SIF (standardized to 740 nm) regression slopes from literature, which reveal a striking spread across studies employing different SIF and/or GPP products even for the same biome and spatiotemporal scale. For example, in-situ GPP (inferred from net ecosystem exchanges [NEE] at eddy covariance EC towers) and spaceborne SIF products (Sections 2.1; Table S1) are utilized for investigation, the GPP-SIF scaling can vary by a factor of three, that is, ~10 for TanSatSIF to ~30 for CSIF, when spatiotemporal scales are controlled across different studies (Figure 4c). Such discrepancies only narrow slightly if SIF products originating from the same spaceborne mission are used, e.g., OCO-2,
CSIF, and GOSIF (Figure 4c). Other factors such as the selection of EC sites and temporal period may induce extra variations in the GPP-SIF scaling. Note that most existing studies examined the GPP-SIF slopes utilizing at-sensor SIF; a few recent studies suggested that the total Chl \(a\) emission of a canopy (i.e., \(F_{\text{eT}}(\lambda)\)), after correcting the escape probability \(f_{\text{esc}}\), not only present higher coherence with GPP (Lu et al., 2020), but also result in more convergence of GPP-SIF slopes across C3 biomes (Zhang, Zhang, et al., 2020). However, specific formulation of \(f_{\text{esc}}\) may (on top of the choice of SIF data sources) can also impact the GPP-SIF.

Moreover, the level of biome-specificity in GPP-SIF scaling can be considerably confounded by the choice of SIF products and/or versions (Figure 4c; Parazoo et al., 2019; van Schaik et al., 2020). Further biases/uncertainties in GPP data, for example, global gridded products (e.g., Anav et al., 2015), not only impact the absolute magnitude of GPP-SIF scaling but also possibly contribute to more biome-specificity (Figure 4b).

If both SIF and GPP come from in-situ measurements (Figure 4a), which are usually assumed to be "ground truth", greater disparity can emerge even within the same biome. These disparities arise not only from different time scales and plant species, but also from inconsistencies in SIF retrieval algorithms, instrument configuration, footprint sizes, across studies (summarized in Section 2.3). Often, users choose system configuration (which largely determines footprint sizes) and retrieval methods depending on the trade-offs of advantages and disadvantages (summarized in Tables S2 and S3) that can optimize SIF measurement for specific applications. For example, bi-hemispherical systems can more closely match the footprint of a typical EC flux system and suffer less from angular effects than hemispherical-conical systems. In contrast, the hemispherical-conical more closely mimics the setup and angular effects of space-borne SIF instruments. Moreover, certain retrieval methods such as SVD and PLS (Chang, Guanter, et al., 2020; Naethe et al., 2022) are relatively more robust to atmospheric conditions and therefore may be more suitable for in-situ SIF systems across diverse platforms (e.g., at different altitudes) and ecosystems. However, the concomitant consequences of inconsistencies in SIF retrieval algorithms, instrument configuration, and footprint size can lead to considerable disparities (especially under variable sky conditions) in SIF magnitude, temporal patterns, and functional relationships among

---

**Figure 4** Synthesis of gross primary production-solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (GPP-SIF) slopes (derived from linear regression) from literature, based on the protocol in Supporting Information 1. Findings are grouped into three categories, depending on data sources of SIF and GPP: right and left quadrants show satellite and in-situ SIF (via proximal sensing), respectively; top and bottom quadrants show global gridded and in-situ GPP (inferred from the net ecosystem exchange NEE at eddy covariance EC towers), respectively. Specifically, (a) slopes from in-situ SIF and in-situ GPP; (b) slopes from satellite SIF and global GPP products; (c) slopes from satellite SIF and in-situ GPP. The units of GPP and SIF are standardized to gC m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) and mW m\(^{-2}\) nm\(^{-1}\) sr\(^{-1}\), respectively. All SIF values are normalized to 740 nm based on the scaling factors derived from the measured spectra in (Ganter et al., 2013; details in Supporting Information 1). Definition of biome types can be found in Supporting Information 1. The shape of scatters differentiates scales across studies, that is, temporal (diurnal, seasonal, interannual), spatial (across sites or biomes), or temporal and spatial combined. Open and filled symbols indicate whether the intercept is forced to be zero or not, respectively. Colors in (a) differentiate studies with text annotation detailing time scales, crop types or stress conditions in the same study; colors in (b) separate different combinations of SIF and GPP products; colors in (c) differentiate sources of satellite SIF products. Supporting Information 2 synthesizes all literatures selected for this figure based on the protocol in Supporting Information 1.
variables (e.g., GPP-SIF scaling). Therefore, caution is needed when interpreting obtained patterns and intercomparing across studies.

3.2 | Constraining, estimating, and understanding the budgets and variability of the terrestrial carbon cycle

The global terrestrial carbon sink has increased with rising fossil fuel CO$_2$ emissions since the 1960s (Ballantyne et al., 2012; Ciais et al., 2019), acting as a key negative feedback and mitigating climate change (Arness et al., 2010; Arora et al., 2013; Friedlingstein et al., 2014; Gregory et al., 2009). A general consensus among multiple independent observations suggests that intensifying terrestrial biosphere activities was dominated by increased GPP. However, global estimates of GPP, its interannual variability (IAV), and long-term trend remain highly uncertain (Alström et al., 2015; Bastos et al., 2019; Fernández-Martínez et al., 2017; Forkel et al., 2016; Haverd et al., 2020; Keenan & Riley, 2018; Smith et al., 2016). This represents one of the largest and most uncertain carbon-climate feedbacks for the Earth System Models (ESMs; Arness et al., 2010). SIF carries the hope of curbing such uncertainties if it can accurately anchor GPP estimates.

3.2.1 | The carbon budgets

The current estimates of global GPP have a remarkable divergence across literature based on different approaches and/or datasets, for example, $\pm 100.2\text{--}167.0$ PgC year$^{-1}$ for the contemporary period (Anav et al., 2015; Jian et al., 2022). Among them, SIF-based GPP estimates exhibit a narrower but still considerable spread, for example, $135.5\pm 8.8$ PgC year$^{-1}$ (2000–2017, Li & Xiao, 2019a) versus $167.0\pm 5.0$ PgC year$^{-1}$ (for 2015, Norton et al., 2019).

Existing studies employed two broad types of approaches to compute GPP from SIF. The first type of approach is to apply a linear scaling factor to transform SIF to GPP, for example, GOSIF-based GPP products (Li & Xiao, 2019a), and regional GPP in southern Amazon (Parazoo et al., 2013). Such GPP estimates were further synergized with net carbon exchange derived from CO$_2$ column-average dry air mole fraction ($x_{\text{CO}_2}$), and biomass burning emission derived from carbon monoxide (CO), to infer other components of carbon fluxes, such as ecosystem respiration ($R_{\text{eco}}$; Bowman et al., 2017; Liu, Bowman, et al., 2017). This linear scaling approach is appealing due to its simplicity, but the accuracy of derived GPP can be susceptible to (a) the data quality of SIF products, and (b) the reality of the employed SIF-GPP scaling factor (Section 3.1). More importantly, a major shortcoming of this strategy is the "implicit" circularity involved (Han, Chang, et al., 2022). For example, the SIF-GPP scaling factor is derived from regressing SIF against GPP either from global gridded products or inferred from in-situ NEE of CO$_2$ measured with EC techniques. Global gridded GPP products are highly uncertain, whereas the latter, commonly treated as the ground "truth", is actually imprecisely partitioned with well documented biases (Keenan et al., 2019; Kira et al., 2021; Wehr et al., 2016). SIF-GPP scaling derived from these GPP datasets was then used to back-calculate GPP, which is essentially circular, and inherits uncertainties in the original GPP. If in-situ GPP is used for deriving the SIF-GPP scaling factor which is subsequently multiplied to satellite SIF to derive a global GPP estimate, additional uncertainties can arise from (1) uneven degree of linearity of SIF related to GPP across biomes (e.g., weaker correlation in tropical evergreen forests, Gentile & Alemohammad, 2018), and (2) uneven representativeness of EC tower distribution across biomes (Schimel et al., 2015). Without knowing the inherent biological SIF-GPP scaling (from uncertain/inconsistent SIF and GPP products), it still remains challenging to derive accurate global GPP estimates via simple linear scaling.

The second type of approach is to assimilate satellite SIF to land surface models (LSMs) or terrestrial biosphere models (TBMs) to constrain simulations of GPP (based on the Farquhar-von Caemmerer-Berry model—FvCB; Farquhar et al., 1980) and net carbon fluxes (Bloom et al., 2020; MacBean et al., 2018; Norton et al., 2019; Parazoo et al., 2014). The accuracy of these estimates depend on (a) the realism of model representations of SIF-q$_{\text{L}}$NPP-GPP and associated parameters (Parazoo et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021), and (b) SIF data quality (Section 3.1). LSMS/TBMs that include SIF parameterization are generally adopted from Soil-Canopy Observation of Photochemistry and Energy (SCOPE; van der Tol et al., 2014), which has yet to be tested for a broad range of species or dynamic environmental conditions (Martini et al., 2022; Parazoo et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021). At its core, SCOPE utilized the FvCB biochemical model to compute photosynthesis, which subsequently is used to calculate SIF. The accuracy of the simulated SIF and GPP are contingent upon the realism of NPP model parameterization (or q$_{\text{L}}$ discussed above), which is challenging to model due to its complex dynamics (Sun et al., 2023). It also depends on the assumption that alternative electron sinks are non-existent, which is known to be incorrect as plants have a variety of alternative electron sinks (e.g., nitrate reduction, Mehler reactions, von Caemmerer, 2000). Furthermore, the quality of SIF products (Section 3.1) and the realism of f$_{\text{sc}}$ proxy or leaf/canopy radiative transfer (Sun et al., 2023) modeling determines the accuracy of the true ChlF emission utilized to constrain LSMS/TBMs.

3.2.2 | Seasonality and phenology

SIF has been applied to study seasonal patterns of GPP, to characterize phenology dynamics, and to reveal the environmental drivers of such dynamics across biomes. Mixed findings were reported for pan-tropical rainforests in the response to seasonal water stress. For example, in the Amazon basin, SIF tends to increase from the early to late dry season (JJA–SON) and peaks in the early wet season (DJF), a pattern generally consistent across different spaceborne SIF products and also MODIS EVI (Doughty et al., 2019; Köhler, Guanter, et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2013; Parazoo et al., 2013). Such patterns
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correspond to a greater atmospheric $x$ CO$_2$ in the dry than the wet season in the seasonally dry forests over the central-to-south Amazon (Parazoo et al., 2013). In tropical Africa, peak SIF appears in the wet season, consistent across spaceborne SIF products; vegetation indices (VIs) may exhibit similar or different seasonal peaks from SIF (Guan et al., 2015; Mengistu et al., 2020).

However, Guan et al. (2015) argued that spatial disparity (i.e., contrast between the Amazon and Congo basins) exists in seasonal SIF (and EVI) dynamics in response to water stress in the pan-tropics, depending on the precipitation regime. Furthermore, Wu et al. (2021) suggested that the degree of synchrony between precipitation and solar radiation determines whether the wet or dry season exhibits higher SIF in tropical Asia. These patterns and interpretation can be future confounded by strong BRDF effect on spaceborne SIF (Köhler, Guanter, et al., 2020; Zhang, Commane, et al., 2020) found a weaker EOS trend in SIF than in VIs in northern hemisphere natural biomes, and attributed it to PAR limitations. However, all these efforts implicitly assume that SIF is an accurate proxy of GPP, which can be invalid, as demonstrated by the analytical equations in Sun et al. (2023). Detailed discussion of this issue is provided in section 3.3 of Sun et al. (2023) and Section 3.1 above.

3.2.3 | IAV of terrestrial carbon cycle and its climate feedbacks

The net carbon fluxes between terrestrial ecosystems and atmosphere (and therefore the atmospheric CO$_2$ growth rate, CGR) exhibit large IAV (Ahlström et al., 2015; Bacastow, 1976; Bouquet et al., 2000), and are strongly regulated by climate variability, for example, El Niño–Southern Oscillation (ENSO; Humphrey et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2016). However, how individual components (e.g., GPP, ecosystem respiration $R_{ecos}$) contribute to the net fluxes and how they distinctively respond to and feedback to climate still remain elusive, despite numerous studies in the past decades (Piao et al., 2020).

SIF has been employed to anchor IAV of GPP. It is promising that SIF outperforms VIs in capturing the IAV of EC GPP in both drylands and ENF ecosystems in the US (Smith et al., 2018; Zuromski et al., 2018). Furthermore, by implicitly assuming SIF is an accurate proxy of GPP, Butterfield et al. (2020) identified two modes of IAV: seasonal compensation (i.e., opposite sign of GPP anomalies between spring and summer, associated with warmer/colder spring and drier/wetter summer) and seasonal amplification (i.e., the same sign of GPP anomalies from spring to summer, associated with persistent soil moisture anomaly). These studies explained a larger IAV of GPP and NEE in the arid western US (dominated by seasonal amplification) than the humid eastern US (typical of seasonal compensation; Byrne et al., 2020). In contrast, Liu et al. (2018) reported that while IAV of NEE is dominated by that of GPP in the western CONUS, $R_{ecos}$ plays the dominant role in the humid east.

Recently, SIF has been utilized (with the hope) to elucidate the relative role of soil moisture versus vapor pressure deficit (VPD) in controlling IAV of GPP (Liu et al., 2020; Li and Xiao 2020) and Liu et al. (2020) reported a dominant role of soil moisture in controlling IAV of SIF over >70% of global vegetated regions, especially in arid and semi-arid regions. In contrast, Lu et al. (2022) showed that the dominant role of soil moisture can greatly attenuate if the influence of PAR and VPD were accounted for. They obtained an overall equal or even more important role of VPD in controlling IAV of SIF over nearly 60% of global vegetated regions, a pattern also supported by EC GPP across the globe (Fu et al., 2022). Disparate patterns also exist within the Amazon basin.
(Green et al., 2020), with the wetsest region (light-limited) showing positive SIF-VPD response while the tropical savannas and seasonally dry forests (water-limited) exhibiting a negative relationship.

Regarding climate-carbon cycle feedbacks, Green et al. (2017) reported strong positive feedbacks to precipitation in semi-arid and monsoon regions (i.e., greater SIF or GPP leading to higher precipitation), while positive feedbacks to PAR occurred in some moderately wet regions (e.g., eastern US, central Eurasia) and the Mediterranean (i.e., greater SIF or GPP leading to reduced cloud cover and increased PAR).

Similar to the seasonality and phenology characterization with SIF, the major caveats of these efforts are the implicit assumption of the equivalence of SIF and GPP (which is invalid, Sun et al., 2023) and the uncertain and disparate SIF products (Section 3.1). Such caveats can confound the interpretation of the obtained patterns; moving forward, theoretical (Sun et al., 2023) and observing advances (Section 4.2) are needed to refine the research findings synthesized here.

3.2.4 | The long-term trend of GPP

SIF has also been employed to infer the long-term trend of GPP. Both CSIF and GOSIF show a growth in the global mean SIF at a rate of -0.4% year^{-1} since the start of the 21st century, stronger than other GPP products (i.e., FLUXCOM, BESS, MODIS C6, and WECANN; Li & Xiao, 2019b; Zhang, Joiner, Alemohammad, et al., 2018). Moreover, spatial distribution of such SIF trends and regional hotspots (i.e., growth in southwest China and India, decline in eastern Brazil) are highly consistent with MODIS (C6) EVI (Zhang et al., 2017). Recently, Wang, Zhang, et al. (2020) reported a weakened \( \text{CO}_2 \) fertilization effect on GPP across the globe, utilizing NIRv (from AVHRR, complemented with CSIF), and attributed it to nitrogen and water limitation. Robustness of such findings can be confounded by the implicit assumption that NIRv and SIF accurately represent GPP dynamics, along with uncertainties in SIF and NIRv datasets and specific analytical approaches (Frankenberg et al., 2021; Sang et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2021).

3.3 | Advancing precision agriculture

The mechanistic linkage among SIF, electron transport rate (ETR), and GPP has also generated momentum for employing SIF remote sensing as a non-invasive and cost-effective tool to advance precision agriculture towards improving food security. Research efforts range from informing \( G \times E \times M \) (Genetic variation by Environmental variation by agronomic Management) at the field scale (Belwalkar et al., 2022; Chang, Zhou, et al., 2020; Fu, Meacham-Hensold, et al., 2021; Jia et al., 2021; Zarco-Tejada et al., 2012) to advancing crop monitoring and yield estimation at the regional/global scales (Cai et al., 2018; Guan et al., 2016; Peng et al., 2020; Sloat et al., 2021).

At the field scale, high-throughput phenotyping and agronomic management have been increasingly exploited with remote sensing techniques, primarily focusing on RGB or multi-spectral images (Araus et al., 2018), but recently extending to SIF acquired from ground and UAV platforms as well as piloted aircraft. Promising results have been obtained in utilizing SIF to guide agronomic management. For example, SIF (itself or a SIF-based indicator) outperforms reflectance-based VIs in inferring leaf nitrogen content (LNC) of wheat (Jia et al., 2021; Wang, Suarez, et al., 2021), indicating its potential in improving nitrogen fertilizer management. Moreover, SIF (and/or its quantum yield) has been employed to infer \( V_{\text{max}} \) (and/or \( J_{\text{max}} \) across cultivars, indicating the potential of SIF in rapidly screening cultivars with different traits (Camino et al., 2019; Fu, Meacham-Hensold, et al., 2021). In the future, such efforts can be guided by the toy model developed in Sun et al. (2023). For example, any trait variations among cultivars (related to genetic variations) may drive differences in variables (e.g., LAI, leaf angle, pigment content) and parameters (e.g., \( k_{\text{ai}}, k_{\text{pab}}, \bar{g} \), and that affecting the redox state) in equations 8–9 of Sun et al. (2023), assuming other conditions are equal.

At regional/global scales, numerous efforts have been made to estimate crop yields utilizing spaceborne SIF products. Earlier studies demonstrated that SIF, once translated to GPP, can be more precisely correlated with yield-based Net Primary Production (NPP) than VIs for corn and soybean (Guan et al., 2016; Guanter et al., 2014). Recent efforts, however, argued that the native coarse-resolution SIF retrievals superimposed with comparatively higher noise may not necessarily lead to superior performance than VIs (which are usually available at finer resolution and lower noise; Cai et al., 2018). Finer resolution SIF may lead to greater yield predictability than VIs, though other factors such as crop types and analytical approach can influence such predictability (He et al., 2020; Peng et al., 2020). However, Sloat et al. (2021) reported an opposite finding, that is, coarse-resolution SIF and NDVI exhibiting similar capability for in-season forecasting (of corn and soybean yield in the US Midwest). Such apparent discrepancies may be a consequence of disparate SIF retrieval approaches (Section 3.1) with varying qualities and different statistical yield estimation methods, and therefore caution is needed to interpret or compare these findings (detailed discussions in Section 3.8). Moreover, crop yield estimation utilizing SIF has almost been exclusively based on statistical approaches. Our developed toy model (Sun et al., 2023) has the potential to serve as a mechanistic model and a scalable approach to transform SIF to GPP and ultimately to crop yield.

3.4 | Enhancing stress monitoring capacity towards informing mitigation and adaptation practices

Both leaf-level ChlF emission and canopy-level SIF observations (red, far-red, or their ratio) are sensitive to diverse abiotic stresses such as water, temperature, and nitrogen content (Ač et al., 2015), even when VIs are asymptotic to these stresses (Daumard et al., 2010; Martini et al., 2022). Such sensitivity generated excitement for utilizing SIF as a cost-effective tool for monitoring climate stresses (i.e., temperature and droughts are projected to increase in frequency and intensity under climate warming, Seneviratne et al., 2021) and evaluating its agricultural, ecological, and
socioeconomic applications to inform decision-making for climate impact mitigation and/or adaptation (e.g., Jiao et al., 2019; Mishra et al., 2010).

At the regional to global scale, spaceborne SIF has been utilized to explore its capability in revealing the spatiotemporal patterns of drought/heatwave impacts and the underlying mechanisms. The general consensus is that, under severe and/or persistent stress, SIF exhibits a significant drop relative to its climatological mean, a pattern consistent across a number of record-breaking drought and heatwave events over the globe (Qiu et al., 2020; Song et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2015; Wen et al., 2020; Yoshida et al., 2015). The stress sensitivity of SIF (e.g., under drought) could vary spatially, depending on biome-characteristics and hydro-climatic regimes (Jiao et al., 2019). In particular, the tropical Amazon, due to its global significance in regulating the terrestrial carbon and water cycles, and in turn its climate feedbacks (both local and teleconnection), as well as sensitivity to the periodical El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), has been extensively studied in terms of drought impact. Anomalously lower SIF occurred from the late dry to wet season (Doughty et al., 2021; Koren et al., 2018; Li, Xiao, & He, 2018; Yang, Tian, et al., 2018) during the 2015–2016 El Nino events, but the severity of SIF anomaly had strong spatial heterogeneity and was susceptible to data uncertainties in SIF retrievals (Koren et al., 2018; van Schaik et al., 2020; Zhang, Joiner, Gentine, et al., 2018). The large reduction in SIF suggested a significant drop in GPP during the wet season, which may have contributed to the anomalously higher carbon release during this event (Gloor et al., 2018; Liu, Bowman, et al., 2017).

As SIF has the potential to identify physiological responses to stress that may be muted in VIs, SIF has been utilized in conjunction with reflectance-based fPAR to parse the relative contribution of structural versus physiological variations to the overall drought response. So far, the consensus is that, under severe and/or persistent stress, concurrent decline of fPAR and the apparent quantum yield of SIF (without correction of fPAR) are likely to happen, but their relative contribution can be biome-dependent and stress-severity dependent (Sun et al., 2015; Yoshida et al., 2015). Mechanistic explanation of such patterns and causal inference can be guided by equation 8 in Sun et al. (2023) in the future. For example, the contribution of fPAR (previously based on the LUE model) can be broken into light harvesting and canopy vertical extinction of PAR and SIF driven by the 3D leaf/canopy structure; the contribution of quantum yield of SIF can be studied separately from the contribution of PSI/PSI stoichiometry and state transition (if such ancillary information is available).

SIF may offer early warning of stress onset. An earlier study observed evidence of a steady decrease in canopy-level SIF under progressive water stress and a rapid rebound following rainfall recovery at a sorghum field, whereas NDVI and chlorophyll content remained unchanged during the same month-long period (Daumard et al., 2010). Other promising findings were reported at the regional scale with spaceborne SIF, which exhibited an earlier drop than VIs during the 2010 heatwave in India’s Gangetic plain (L. Song et al., 2018) and identified flash drought in the US with a lead time of 2 weeks to 2 months (Mohammadi et al., 2022). However, other studies allude to limited capacity of SIF in early warning of stress onset resulting from rapid-changing physiological response, prior to any detectable changes in leaf/canopy structure (that can be detected by VIs; Wohlfahrt et al., 2018). For example, Sloat et al. (2021) reported a significantly better yield prediction with NDVI than with spaceborne SIF during droughts in the US Midwest. Further, disparate SIF-GPP responses under water/heat stress were reported (Section 3.1). Wohlfahrt et al. (2018) found a steady decline of GPP in a Mediterranean pine forest during the 2017 heatwave in Israel while a drop in canopy SIF did not emerge until the peak of stress, indicating a decoupling of light reactions and stomatal response under stress, a pattern that coincides with response of leaf-level (Helm et al., 2020; Marrs et al., 2020) and canopy-level SIF measurements (Chen, Liu, Du, & Liu, 2021) under water stress. In contrast, Martini et al. (2022) revealed an inverse SIF-GPP relationship at the sub-daily scale from both leaf and canopy measurements during the 2019 heatwave in a Mediterranean forest in Europe; such inverse relationship was attributed to NPQ saturation, which caused the excess APAR to be emitted as SIF. Such inverse patterns disappeared when daily mean values were examined, that is, concomitant decline in daily mean SIF and GPP, while NDVI and NiRv stayed stable. Such time scale-dependent SIF response to stress was also evident in Damm et al. (2022), which identified a nonlinear response of far-red SIF (from the airborne HyPlant measurements) to soil moisture deficit in a controlled water experiment for corn, that is, an initial brief increase followed by a subsequent decrease. Unfortunately, such complex SIF-NPQ-GPP dynamics under water/heat stress has not been adequately incorporated by the state-of-the-art mechanistic models, for example, SCOPE (Martini et al., 2022; Wohlfahrt et al., 2018), although De Canière et al. (2021) reported improved water and carbon fluxes simulations during water stress if SIF was utilized to constrain the water stress functions in these models. Resolving these discrepancies requires improved theoretical understandings of underlying mechanisms (e.g., stress-avoiding or adaptation strategies, Flexas & Medrano, 2002; Rascher et al., 2004) and modeling of such understanding across stress types, severity, and duration (discussion in Sun et al., 2023; Section 3.1.1 above), as well as improved SIF data quality and consistency (Section 4.2).

3.5 | Inferring plant traits

Figure 1 and equation 10 of Sun et al. (2023) depict the theoretical, although convoluted, linkage between SIF and inherent plant traits. The capability of SIF for inferring plant traits has been explored, with target traits so far focusing on: LNC, chlorophyll content, and carboxylation parameters (e.g., $V_{\text{max}}$). For example, far-red SIF (and/or its ratio with the red SIF) is able to differentiate nitrogen treatments for both crop and natural species (Migliavacca et al., 2017; Schädtl et al., 2005), primarily driven by the strong sensitivity of far-red SIF to LNC (Ač et al., 2015). Moreover, the ratio of far-red to red SIF (or their normalized indices) is capable of inferring LNC (and in turn the
nitrogen use efficiency NUE) of wheat for both irrigated and rainfed fields (Camino et al., 2018; Jia et al., 2021).

As plant nutrients, especially LNC, are key compounds for chloroplast, photosynthetic pigments, and Rubisco, SIF has also been employed to infer chlorophyll content and V_max. Promising correlations of chlorophyll content with Φ_PQ(red) / Φ_PQ(fr) (negative) or with far-red SIF (positive) have been consistently found from observations (Tubuxin et al., 2015) and modeling-based analyses (with SCOPE; Koffi et al., 2015; Verrelst et al., 2015). Regarding inferring V_max (or J_max) with SIF, conflicting results were reported, ranging from weak sensitivity (Koffi et al., 2015; Verrelst et al., 2015) to strong positive correlation (Y. Zhang et al., 2014; all based on ensemble SCOPE simulations), to negative correlation between SIF and V_max (or J_max) with SIF, conflicting results were reported, ranging from weak sensitivity (Koffi et al., 2015; Verrelst et al., 2015) to strong positive correlation (Y. Zhang et al., 2014; all based on ensemble SCOPE simulations). Despite such complex relationships, attempts of retrieving V_max via inverting process-based models with SIF as one major input have been made at both the field (Camino et al., 2019) and global scales (He et al., 2019). The fidelity of these inferred traits should be carefully evaluated, as SIF dynamics is impacted by a myriad of interacting canopy and functional processes (Sun et al., 2023), and teasing out a single trait requires information of all other processes being adequately anchored (e.g., equation 8 in Sun et al., 2023). In this regard, the analytical framework developed in Sun et al. (2023) can facilitate the trait inference, if harnessing the synergy of SIF with other sensing technology, for example, hyperspectral imaging, Lidar, and thermal, and microwave (Section 4.1).

Recently, SIF at high spatial resolution has been demonstrated as a powerful measure of ecosystem functional diversity, outperforming reflectances (and the derived VIs) and thus foreshadowing its potential for quantifying biodiversity (Tagliabue et al., 2020). This can also be explained by the analytical framework developed in Sun et al. (2023), that is, any structural and/or functional diversity can impact variables and parameters in figure 2 of Sun et al. (2023), and propagate to the observed F_i (A_i).

3.6 | Constraining the dynamics of the hydrological cycle

Motivated by the joint control of stomatal conductance (g_s) on photosynthesis and transpiration, SIF was employed to infer g_s transpiration, and evapotranspiration (ET; Jonard et al., 2020). For example, X. Lu et al. (2018) found that the canopy-level SIF (especially far-red or a combination of far-red and red) was capable of estimating transpiration via parsimonious statistical scaling at the Harvard Forest, outperforming the classical Penman-Monteith (PM) model, which is more input/parameter-demanding. Expanding to global scales, Maes et al. (2020) showed promising correlation between GOME-2 SIF and transpiration (partitioned from ET measured at EC towers) across diverse biomes, which can be even stronger than the SIF-GPP correlation. Shan et al. (2021) and Zhou et al. (2022) further attempted to estimate transpiration with far-red SIF in a more mechanistic manner, by integrating Fick’s law of water diffusion, optimal water use efficiency (WUE) theory, and empirical SIF-GPP scaling, from in-situ and satellite-based measurements, respectively. However, Damm et al. (2021) argued that the apparent promising empirical SIF-transpiration relationship is a consequence of their shared drivers, that is, PAR and LAI, while a robust estimation of transpiration requires not only SIF but also more nuanced considerations of environmental and physiological dynamics. Indeed, the apparent promising correlation between SIF and transpiration is sensitive to multiple assumptions that may break depending on biomes, time scale, stress conditions (Stoy et al., 2019). In particular, a linear SIF-GPP scaling assumption may result in bias in SIF-based estimation of g_s and transpiration. This issue should be resolved in the future by coupling equation 10 in Sun et al. (2023) and a stomatal conductance model.

3.7 | Contribution to socioeconomic impact and sustainability assessment

Beyond conventional applications in the domain of “natural science”, satellite SIF has been recently employed as a real-time cost-effective tool for regional-scale socioeconomic evaluation, such as international development, sustainability, and food security. For example, high-resolution SIF products (e.g., SIF005, Wen et al., 2020) were used as a major input for targeting, mapping and monitoring poverty/malnutrition in developing countries (Browne et al., 2021; McBride et al., 2022). These attempts were motivated by SIF’s capability in monitoring (and potentially providing early warning, Section 3.4) of climate risks/shocks, which can induce crop and forage failure in rural areas of the developing world where poor households’ livelihoods depend disproportionately, directly or indirectly, on crop and livestock productivity.

Another such example is evaluating the impact of the Sustainable Land Management Project (SLMP) in Ethiopia, to date one of the world’s most ambitious national-scale land restoration programs, on ecosystem productivity (Constenla-Villoslada et al., 2022). SIF005 and GOSIF paired with intensive in-situ surveys revealed the substantial benefits of SLMP, that is, improved drought resilience of GPP in Ethiopia’s degraded watersheds. Such national-scale socioeconomic evaluation was previously challenging with conventional survey-based approaches, which are costly and labor-intensive.

One emerging line of SIF application is to evaluate urban sustainability and human health. Cities support more than half of the global population. Urban vegetation supports urban sustainability and is critical for mitigating climate extremes via carbon sequestration and evaporative cooling. For example, Sun et al. (2017) demonstrated the remarkable urban–rural SIF gradients from OCO-2; further, SIF combined with LAI were used to quantify the role of evaporative cooling...
in regulating urban heat islands (Paschalis et al., 2021). From a flipped perspective, the urban–rural climate gradient was taken as a natural experiment to study how future climate change/extrmes impact on ecosystem health (P. Fu, Hu, et al., 2021; Wang, Ju, et al., 2019).

3.8 | Practical benefits and barriers of remotely sensed SIF over conventional surface reflectance and the derived VIs

One may wonder: Does the mechanistic advantage of SIF (i.e., carrying structural and functional information of plants, Sun et al., 2023), combined with its lower sensitivity to atmospheric contamination (such as thin clouds and thus alleviating potential data loss, Frankenberger et al., 2012), reduced susceptibility to background soil (i.e., non-fluorescing, Wang, Beringer, et al., 2019) and to saturation under high LAI relative to the conventional surface reflectance and/or derived VIs, outweigh SIF’s practical barriers (e.g., coarser spatial/temporal resolutions and comparatively stronger retrieval noise/bias), and thus lead to practical benefits in real-world applications (e.g., crop yield estimation, socioeconomic impact evaluation, stress early-warning)?

The general consensus so far is a stronger sensitivity of SIF than NDVI to seasonal and IAV across all major biomes, but SIF does not appear to possess substantial comparative advantage over EVI or NIRv, especially for crops and temperate DBF (Badgley et al., 2019; Baldocchi et al., 2020; Dechant et al., 2022). Note that a comparison between SIF and surface reflectance (or VIs) as a proxy of GPP is not valid unless the latter is converted to a flux quantity with an energy unit (e.g., multiplied with irradiance/radiance; G. Wu et al., 2020). Moreover, intensive efforts utilizing hyperspectral imaging spectroscopy to infer plant traits have obtained promising outcomes (Serbin et al., 2016; Wang, Clulis, et al., 2020; Wang, Townsend, & Kruger, 2022; Zarco-Tejada et al., 2021). The practical benefits of SIF relative to hyperspectral reflectance remain to be explored, especially considering that SIF, as a flux variable, is not only affected by plant structural and functional traits (state variables) but also rapid environmental fluctuations, which need to be teased out. On the other hand, SIF may still carry greater scalability in inferring GPP (and other associated functions and traits) across biomes than NIRv, given its mechanistic linkage with ETR and GPP (equations 9 and 10 in Sun et al., 2023), despite the biome-specific or universal debate on the SIF-GPP scaling. Moreover, the pragmatic advantage of SIF over NIRv may be more apparent under stress conditions (Damm et al., 2022; Martini et al., 2022), and when the growing season progresses towards senescence especially for conifers (Raczka et al., 2019). We should acknowledge that NIRv is still sensitive to the reflectance of soil, snow, wood and cirrus clouds, which is unrelated to SIF. This may make the relationship between NIRv and SIF biome-specific. In order to answer the above question, future research is critically needed to investigate more biomes (especially in tropical rainforests and boreal ecosystems in the northern high-latitudes where the largest uncertainties in carbon sink/source changes are located) and more dynamic environments (e.g., climate extremes and natural/anthropogenic disturbance).

4 | INNOVATIONS

Given the numerous discrepancies among current literature across the spectrum of applications (Section 3), does SIF help resolve existing knowledge gaps or add extra complexities and uncertainty?

To answer this question, innovations are needed to fill existing theoretical and data gaps that currently challenge real-world applications (Figure 5). Sun et al. (2023) offers perspectives on research priorities and potential solutions to theoretical knowledge gaps. This paper focuses on discussing data gaps (Section 4.1), research innovations needed in observations (Section 4.2) and applications (Section 4.3).

4.1 | Data gaps

4.1.1 | Bias in "ground-truth" GPP and transpiration

Present studies all consider GPP fluxes partitioned from NEE of CO₂ (measured at EC towers) with the standard night- or day-time (NT or DT) based approaches (Lasslop et al., 2010; Reichstein et al., 2005) as the ground-truth, and use it to establish the empirical SIF-GPP scaling, or evaluate SIF product quality using the degree of their linearity as metrics. However, NT and DT are known to contain biases, the extent of which also change across the seasonal course and environmental variations (Keenan et al., 2019; Kira et al., 2021; Wehr et al., 2016). Similarly, transpiration fluxes at EC towers, usually taken as the in-situ gold standard for validation, are not directly measured but partitioned from the total ET, which involve common assumptions of transpiration/ET ratio approaching to unity and the optimal theory of WUE (Stoy et al., 2019). Under circumstances when these assumptions are violated (which can be common, Stoy et al., 2019), transpiration estimated from SIF can suffer from bias. Data uncertainties in GPP and transpiration fluxes are under-appreciated at present.

4.1.2 | SIF retrieval bias and noise

The SIF-GPP relationships (Figure 4) and all other applications obtained so far can be greatly confounded by bias and noise in SIF measurements/retrievals, for both spaceborne (Parazoo et al., 2019) and in-situ (Aasen et al., 2019; Cendrero-Mateo et al., 2019; Chang, Guanter, et al., 2020; Marrs et al., 2021; Pacheco-Labrador et al., 2019) platforms. Discrepancies among studies across applications identified in Section 3 may arise partly from these data artifacts. Spaceborne SIF products can differ significantly across SIF retrieval algorithms, fitting spectral window, footprint size, overpass
time, BRDF (Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function) effect, which can be further confounded by instrumental degradation and other calibration issues (Joiner et al., 2013; Oshio et al., 2019; Parazoo et al., 2019; Zhang, Joiner, Gentine, et al., 2018). For example, negative GOME-2 SIF anomalies can be an artifact of or amplified by its secular decline due to instrument degradation (Song et al., 2018; Yang, Tian, et al., 2018). The effects of clouds on moderate to low spatial resolution satellite data also have to be considered, although SIF retrievals with relatively good accuracy can be achieved under thin clouds and aerosol (Frankenberg et al., 2012). Validation of spaceborne retrievals has been a major challenge, particularly for instruments with larger footprints, where the representativeness error for comparison with in-situ instruments can be large.

Accuracy and precision of in-situ (and also airborne) SIF are sensitive to instrument configuration, calibration protocols, retrieval methods, and atmospheric conditions, as well as ambient environment (e.g., temperature, humidity) that can impact instrument stability (Aasen et al., 2019; Cendrero-Mateo et al., 2019; Chang, Guanter, et al., 2020; Chang, Zhou, et al., 2020; Marrs et al., 2021; Pacheco-Labrador et al., 2019).

Such uncertainties in SIF retrievals can propagate to the quantum yield of SIF, which is usually computed as the ratio of SIF against APAR (with or without correction of f_{\text{esc}}^\Omega). As both the numerator and denominator are measurements/retrievals that contain noise/bias, the derived quantum yield of SIF could carry these uncertainties that may be further exaggerated by the division. Consequently, the quantum yield of SIF may not necessarily reflect true biological variations.

4.1.3 Low temporal frequency

Most spaceborne SIF retrievals have relatively low temporal frequency (Table S1), which is inadequate to characterize the intra-seasonal variation of plant activities. This can inhibit SIF’s full potential for phenology characterization (e.g., dryland ecosystems that have fast-changing dynamics and complex species composition/abundance), prediction of crop yield (as it is highly sensitive to agronomic management that can be irregular depending on weather fluctuations, and stress during grain filling that is short-duration), and monitoring/early-warning of fast-onset stresses. These applications may require at least sub-weekly temporal resolutions. For example, TROPOMI SIF has greatly improved revisit time, and demonstrated such benefits in depicting the complex seasonal trajectory of California’s complex mixture of ecosystems (Turner et al., 2020).
4.1.4 | Lack of long-time record

The major roadblocks to applying spaceborne SIF to study the long-term trend and IAV of the terrestrial carbon cycle is lack of a SIF record that is both sufficiently long and of trustworthy quality. For example, native SIF retrievals from GOME-2 have the longest time coverage (Table S1), but suffer from inherent instrument degradation/orbital drift (i.e., post August 2013), which could lead to a spurious negative trend (van Schaik et al., 2020); GOSAT SIF is available for over 10 years but has sparse spatial sampling.

4.1.5 | Coarse spatial resolution and/or sparse spatial sampling

Current native spaceborne SIF retrievals are at low spatial resolutions and/or spatially discontinuous (Table S1). Value-added SIF products have relatively finer spatial resolution with global contiguous coverage (Table S1), but have not yet been sufficiently validated with independent in-situ or airborne SIF at high-resolution. Moreover, they can be susceptible to (a) biases/noise inherited from native SIF retrievals; (b) the training algorithm; (c) uncertainties in selected predictor variables. These limitations hamper the potential of SIF in truly benefiting crop monitoring and yield prediction, poverty and malnutrition targeting, or urban sustainability, which all require resolving complex and heterogeneous landscapes. For example, existing SIF-based attempts exclusively focus on developed countries where agricultural landscapes and management practices are more homogeneous and high-quality ground-truthing data (for calibrating yield estimation models) are more readily available. However, in heterogeneous landscapes (such as developing countries where farm size is typically small), present SIF products (both native and value-added products) are unable to resolve individual crop types or fields (Kira & Sun, 2020), making them of limited use to inform decision-making at the field-scale (e.g., fertilizer or irrigation). Moreover, none of the existing spaceborne SIF products can be reliably matched with in-situ socioeconomic surveys (e.g., poverty metrics, children malnutrition) due to their sparse data acquisition, randomized spatial offsets of socioeconomic data to protect respondents’ privacy, or some combination. Consequently, it remains unclear whether SIF possesses substantial competitive advantages over conventional VIs for these operational applications, as the latter is technologically much more mature and available at super fine resolutions (e.g., sub-meter).

4.1.6 | Scarcity of in-situ SIF measurements

Despite the growth of in-situ SIF observing systems in the northern hemisphere mid-latitude, especially in agricultural regions, such systems are sparse in the pan-tropics and arctic/boreal regions as well as developing countries (e.g., Africa; see figure 4 of Parazoo et al., 2019), a situation similar to the uneven global distribution of the EC flux measurements (Schimel et al., 2015).

4.2 | Observational innovations

4.2.1 | Satellite missions and airborne campaigns

Validation and cross-instrument standardization
High SIF data accuracy/precision is foundational to meaningful downstream applications. Parazoo et al. (2019) demonstrated that agreement among spaceborne SIF retrievals can be achieved if overpass time, fitting windows, and viewing angles are standardized. Dedicated efforts have also been made to apply standardized retrieval algorithms consistently to GOME, SCIAMACHY, and GOME-2/MetOp-A (and also corrected the artificial trend in GOME-2 SIF). Such cross-instrument comparison and standardization should continue in the future (e.g., extending to other spaceborne instruments, as well as airborne measurements), to further improve the retrieval accuracy/precision of spaceborne SIF products. In addition, validation of spaceborne SIF retrievals (as well as value-added products and airborne measurements) with in-situ SIF is critical, but remains a major research gap. Challenges preventing effectiveness of such efforts include scale mismatch, inconsistency in instrument configuration and retrieval approaches, BRDF effect, and so forth but can be addressed if utilizing trustworthy radiative transfer model (RTM) simulations (section 3.1 and table 1 in Sun et al., 2023) as a bridge to reproduce both in-situ and spaceborne/airborne SIF in parallel under their respective instrument setup and landscape scenarios.

Multi-instrument harmonization
So far value-added SIF products (e.g., based on ML approaches) date back to 1995, but their reliability still requires further investigation, given the above-stated quality issues in native SIF retrievals, which the value-added SIF products are trained against. Also, temporal extrapolation has been employed to cover periods prior to the availability of native SIF products, but may result in bias as the true SIF signal falls outside the "observed" range/distribution of the training period. One alternative approach to extend the timespan is to harmonize SIF from different instruments with some overlaps (Parazoo et al., 2019; Wang, Zhang, et al., 2022; Wen et al., 2020), for example, GOME (1995–2003), SCIAMACHY (2003–2012), GOME-2/MetOp-A (2007–2018; Joiner et al., 2019, 2021), and MetOp-B/C (2012–present; Table S1). Caution is needed as quality of such harmonized products is contingent upon consistency of the native products across different instruments.

Pushing higher-frequency sampling
A new frontier in spaceborne SIF will be realized with the first measurements from geostationary (GEO) platforms on an approximately hourly basis. These observations, from Tropospheric Emissions: Monitoring Pollution (TEMPO) and Sentinel-4, may provide a
glimpse into diurnal variability in plant function at a regional scale (Figure 6). While the suite of current instruments in LEO covers a wide range of hours due to their large swaths and slightly inclined orbits, recovering information on the diurnal variations in plant physiology was found to be problematic owing to the difficulty in accounting for the large variations due to sun-viewing geometry (Joiner et al., 2020). GEO observations, in contrast, will have a fixed geometry day-to-day for a given point on Earth and a given time of day. Both SIF and reflectance have complicated diurnal variations owing to changes of sun-viewing geometries throughout a day, making it difficult to decouple structural and physiological effects on SIF (Chang et al., 2021). Averaging at fixed times of day and fixed locations, where the GEO satellite geometry is identical day to day may lead to the ability to detect temporal anomalies in the SIF signal at different times of day. Here, it would not be necessary to adjust for the structural effects that may impact the signal over the course of a day; rather these effects on SIF would be assumed to remain relatively stable over short time periods. The anomalous signals due to stress could then be studied at different times of day as indicated in Figure 6.

Harnessing the synergy of SIF with hyperspectral imaging, Lidar, and thermal, and microwave remote sensing

In principle, SIF carries plant physiological information beyond plant biophysical properties that hyperspectral reflectance can help infer and leaf/canopy structures that lidar (or VIs) can characterize (figure 2 in Sun et al., 2023). On the flip side, SIF is a mixed signal of all these factors, making it necessary to disentangle them. This is particularly critical for applications where a pool of plant traits is required in applications such as plant phenotyping (Belward et al., 2022), precision agronomic management (Wang, Suarez, et al., 2021), and disease detection (Poblete et al., 2021; Zarco-Tejada et al., 2018). One innovation is to harness the complementary strengths among SIF, hyperspectral reflectance, and lidar to disentangle contributions from physiological variations, leaf biophysical traits (e.g., chlorophyll, carotenoids, xanthophylls and anthocyanin content), and canopy structure (e.g., LAI, leaf inclination angle, canopy height, and crown volume; Porcar-Castell et al., 2021; Schimel & Schneider, 2019; Stavros et al., 2017). For example, an optimal instrument would measure radiance in the visible and NIR range to derive hyperspectral reflectances and SIF simultaneously, and thus ensure the same sun-viewing geometry between them. Most SIF-capable instruments currently flying in-orbit do not have such capability. Moreover, utilizing synergies with thermal and/or microwave-based measurements (i.e., Land Surface Temperature LST, soil moisture) when possible can also help disentangle contributions from physiology versus leaf-canopy structure under biotic or abiotic stress. Harnessing these diverse and complementary remote sensing techniques can also help infer ecosystem efficiency terms, such as light use efficiency (LUE), water use efficiencies (LUE), carbon use efficiencies (CUE), and nitrogen use efficiency (NUE), which cannot be measured directly but carry functional information of ecosystem dynamics (Schimel & Schneider, 2019; Stavros et al., 2017). To facilitate such synergy, the key here is to integrate different types of measurements from the “hardware” side as much as possible (e.g., a single multi-functional instrument, or different instruments onboard the same platform), which is more beneficial than from the “software” side (e.g., correction of sun-viewing geometry, irradiance calibration, or footprint mismatch via post-processing). Meanwhile, theoretical modeling is much needed to guide the integration of different data streams (e.g., equations 8–10, and figure 2 in Sun et al., 2023) and maximize their information content while mitigating their individual measurement uncertainties. For example, LAI and pigment contents that determine light harvesting in equation 8 of Sun et al. (2023) could be anchored (to some degree) by Lidar and hyperspectral measurements, and hence SIF can be used to infer other physiological quantities. On the other hand, these diverse measurements can help reveal the degree to which and conditions under which certain assumptions hold in deriving the analytical equations of Sun et al. (2023), and hence identify quantities/variables that should be prioritized for either more data collection, process understanding, or sophisticated modeling (laid out in figure 5 in Sun et al., 2023).

**FIGURE 6** Diagram of resolving diurnal cycle of spaceborne solar-induced chlorophyll fluorescence for the past and future from (a) Low Earth Orbit (LEO) and (b) geostationary (GEO) platforms.
4.2.2 | Canopy-scale observations

Standardization of instrument configuration, calibration, and retrieval methods

The diversity of available in-situ systems and retrieval methods for canopy SIF currently presents a challenge for large-scale syntheses that have proven fruitful in other ecological networks (i.e., Fluxnet), as SIF observation so far has no standardization of procedure, calibration, or system design across sites and principal investigators (PIs). Such standardization is critical for establishing an effective global SIF network, ensuring comparability across SIF measurements (Aasen et al., 2019; Albert et al., 2023; Cendrero-Mateo et al., 2019; Marrs et al., 2021; Pacheco-Labarad et al., 2019), and validating spaceborne SIF retrievals. To facilitate this endeavor, differences among system configurations and retrieval methods for the same canopy target must be carefully quantified and corrected. This can be done with concurrent bi-hemispherical and hemispherical-conical systems, ideally mounted on both stationary tower and mobile UAV platforms. Continuous high-frequency point measurements at fixed locations of a stationary tower and spatial mapping (within the tower footprint) with flexible resolution/altitude control of a mobile UAV offer complementary information towards quantifying (a) spatial heterogeneity within a tower footprint, (b) impact of atmospheric conditions on SIF retrievals. In the meantime, intercomparison of different instrument configuration and retrieval methods must be conducted over a wide range of biomes and sky conditions (Chang et al., 2021; Chang, Zhou, et al., 2020). Further, each system should simultaneously observe a minimum set of meteorological variables (e.g., radiation, temperature, humidity, and wind speed) that can facilitate designing practical solutions for correcting differences of SIF retrievals across system configurations.

Improving in-situ SIF observing capability in “data desert” regions

The information contained in SIF complements that observed by EC systems. Thus more SIF observations should be conducted at well-established flux sites such as AmeriFlux or Fluxnet, perhaps using integrated SIF-EC systems (Gu, Wood, et al., 2019). In particular, more in-situ SIF instruments are needed in “data desert” regions, for example, tropical rainforests and northern hemisphere high-latitudes where the most discrepancies among literature and largest uncertainties in carbon fluxes/storages as well as strength of carbon-climate feedbacks (Schimel et al., 2015) are both located. Also, dryland ecosystems, although important in regulating IAV of the global carbon cycle, are underrepresented by in-situ SIF (and EC) measurements (Schimel & Schneider, 2019). Deploying more SIF observing systems in these areas will assist satellite SIF retrievals (e.g., validation and spatial scaling) for constraining regional carbon mapping/budgets. Moreover, improving in-situ SIF observing capability in areas with high socioeconomic vulnerability is also highly beneficial (discussion in Section 3.7).

Development of high-resolution SIF-specific spectroradiometers

A critical need of observational innovation is the development of high-resolution spectroradiometers that are designed specifically for observing SIF. Scientists have been working with companies to design spectroradiometers tailored for SIF research (e.g., Ocean Optics QE-Pro), while others have used off the shelf spectrometers (e.g., ASD Field Spec). These spectrometers contain a great number of charges coupled devices (CCDs) that collect charges at wavelengths that are not usable for SIF retrieval. These unusable CCDs increase the cost, contribute to heat generation which decreases spectroradiometer sensitivity, and limit the number of CCDs that can be used in a spectroradiometer for retrieving SIF at single wavelengths. Future development of SIF-specific spectroradiometers should focus on Fraunhofer lines and oxygen absorption bands which are highly resolved with a limited number of CCDs in neighboring bands to provide reference wavelengths. Similar to point measurements, SIF imaging systems will also be useful but will need to undergo strict retrieval processes and reflectance correction (Frankenberg et al., 2012). A close collaboration between the SIF science community and industry is needed to develop SIF-specific spectroradiometers.

4.2.3 | Leaf scale observations

At the canopy level, the true values of neither SIF nor GPP can be directly measured and known. Therefore, it is at the leaf level that the relationship between SIF and photosynthesis can be theoretically established and verified. Currently, no commercially available instruments can be used to measure total ChlF emission from a leaf, which includes adaxial and abaxial emissions and re-absorption. Current commercially available fluorometers output fluorescence quantum yield (typically across all wavelengths >700 nm) in arbitrary units, which must be ratioed to a reference (e.g., maximal or minimal fluorescence, Baker, 2008) in any calculations. These measurements cannot be used directly as total ChlF emission (i.e., in the unit of mol m⁻² s⁻¹). There is an urgent need for fluorometers that can measure total ChlF emission from a leaf directly (Magney et al., 2017; Meeker et al., 2021; Van Wittenberghe et al., 2019, 2021). This includes both broadband and spectral fluorescence from both the adaxial and abaxial sides. Ideally, these emission measurements should be accompanied with transmittance measurements of Ap, perhaps with incidence from both adaxial and abaxial sides. These transmittance measurements can be used to estimate the fraction of total ChlF emission that is being self-absorbed with a leaf RTM, for example, equation S25 of Sun et al. (2023) derived with Beer’s Law.

Ideally, the true ChlF emission measurements at the leaf level should be jointly conducted with conventional PAM fluorometry and gas exchange measurements (Magney et al., 2017; Meeker et al., 2021). With these measurements, mechanistic ChlF emission models can be tested and theoretical SIF-photosynthesis relationships can be verified. Breakthroughs in this much-needed innovation
cannot be made without close collaboration between the scientific community and industry.

4.2.4  | Bridging the scaling gap: From leaf to canopy, to ecosystems, and to the globe

Built upon innovations at individual scales discussed above, efforts are also much needed to bridge the “scaling” gap from leaf to canopy, ecosystems, and globe, a paramount issue not only to SIF but almost to every variable in the Earth system science context. For example, it is challenging to couple SIF acquiring instruments at different scales, due to their vastly different footprints composed of dynamic/heterogeneous vegetation structures/functions (Sun et al., 2023). Specific to leaf-to-canopy scaling, vertical profiling of the joint spectral SIF, PAM fluorometry, and gas exchange measurements (Section 4.2.3) along with a hemispherical-conical system over a plant canopy will be ideal to resolve these issues. Further, concurrent stationary tower and mobile UAV measurements (Chang et al., 2021) will offer quantitative information on spatial heterogeneity within a tower footprint, towards bridging the gap from individual canopy to the ecosystem scale. Most often, the relationship between SIF and GPP (or with other variables) had to be examined with mismatched footprints. To resolve such scale mismatch, emerging efforts attempt to couple the OctoFlow SIF system and LI-7000 gas analyzers (acquiring carbon and water fluxes) for crop measurements in enclosures with precise temperature and CO₂ control. This allows a much closer footprint (~1-2 m²) than any setup currently achievable with EC towers. In the meantime, the theory-driven model remains an important tool to bridge the scaling gap, as model simulations can be conducted at any temporal and spatial scale while measurements can only be made at discrete and disparate scales. If measurements made at different scales can all be reproduced by model simulations, confidence can be gained in these models. For example, the theoretical framework developed in Sun et al. (2023) can be applied and tested for such purposes.

4.3  | Application innovations

To advance our current understanding of SIF dynamics and expand its utility to infer ecosystem structure, function, and service, we offer perspectives on potential application innovations.

4.3.1  | Innovations in ecological applications

**Quest for the “true” GPP across time and space**

The culprit of the challenges in pinning down the true GPP and its variability in space and time is the infeasibility of directly measuring this flux beyond a single leaf. In the quest to identify “true” GPP at the canopy scale and beyond, SIF should be utilized in a way that can stay away from the current known uncertainties, moving beyond simple correlative analyses between SIF and existing GPP estimates that are well documented to have bias at many different scales. Two potential pathways to tackle this core problem: **What is the true GPP, at the canopy and global scale respectively?**

**A. NEE partitioning.** At the canopy scale, the commonly referred “gold standard” GPP at EC towers, is not a direct observable, but rather indirectly inferred from the directly measurable NEE with EC techniques (Lasslop et al., 2010; Reichstein et al., 2005). SIF could be employed in a way that truly escapes from existing known uncertainties. For example, it could be directly used to partition NEE, if functional relationships can be integrated with SIF to anchor GPP (e.g., the toy model developed in Sun et al., 2023). Initial exploration has been made for a single biome (e.g., C4) during a single growing season (Kira et al., 2021) but much larger-scale coordinated efforts are needed to expand such exploration, that is, for more biomes/sites with SIF-observing capabilities. Such strategies would allow for true GPP inference and avoid the current “circular” and “uncertain” approaches (Section 3.1). In the meantime, we should always be vigilant to measurement quality/noise, and cross-site calibration/standardizations, which are key to ensure faithful GPP estimation (Section 4.1).

**B. Integration of SIF, OCS, and δ¹³CO₂.** Over the past decades, multiple photosynthetic tracers, including SIF, carbonyl sulfide (OCS), and δ¹³CO₂ isotopes, were identified and utilized to constrain GPP fluxes (Campbell et al., 2017; Graven et al., 2020). Specifically, OCS is an atmospheric trace gas that diffuses from the atmosphere to photosynthetic enzymes along a shared pathway with CO₂. It is consumed by plants (Berry et al., 2013; Campbell et al., 2008; Montzka et al., 2007; Selbit et al., 2010; Whelan et al., 2018; Wohlfahrt et al., 2012), and at regional scales is closely correlated with GPP (Campbell et al., 2017; Hilton et al., 2017). δ¹³C has long been used to study photosynthetic metabolism and its environmental response (Farquhar et al., 1989), taking advantage of the unique feature of isotopic discrimination of photosynthesis, that is, selective uptake of ¹²CO₂ over ¹³CO₂. The long-term measurements of atmospheric δ¹³CO₂ have also been used to provide unique insights on the growth trend of global GPP and WUE along with the underlying drivers (Graven et al., 2020; Keeling et al., 2017). The shared strength of these independent tracers is their capability to directly infer photosynthesis without involving uncertainties in separating ecosystem respiration. However, progress toward this end has only been made within their respective communities; their joint power for constraining predictive understanding of GPP has never been explored or realized. Future research efforts should leverage their unique and complementary strengths as photosynthetic tracers. Towards this end, a theory-driven model that can mechanistically represent/connect these three tracers is foundational to harnessing their synergy. For example, coupling the analytical equation(s) (e.g., equations 8 and 10) in Sun et al. (2023) with an OCS model (Berry et al., 2013; Kooijmans et al., 2021) can be a starting endeavor.
Pigment content
Pigment contents are sensitive to various environmental stresses. Large scale monitoring of pigment contents represents one of the most promising applications of SIF remote sensing for climate change and ecosystem research. As Chl aF is emitted by excited chlorophyll molecules, a natural application of SIF would be to use it to monitor chlorophyll content. Equation 8 in Sun et al. (2023) shows that SIF could be approximately proportional to the pigment content of the canopy if LAI and PAR are controlled. To our knowledge, this approach has not been tested, but may be more direct and sensitive than reflectance/transmittance-based approaches, as it has a theoretic basis and can be derived in a mechanistic way, as opposed to the conventional approach such as statistical regression (Wang, Townsend, & Kruger, 2022).

4.3.2 Innovations in hydrological applications

ET partitioning
Evapotranspiration is a keystone climate variable that links the water cycle, energy balance, and carbon cycle (Fisher et al., 2017; Katul et al., 2012; Monteith, 1965; Wang & Dickinson, 2012; Wong et al., 1979). Its trajectory under changing climate, however, is highly uncertain (Gedney et al., 2006; Mao et al., 2015; Piao et al., 2007; Zeng et al., 2017). One primary reason for such uncertainty is a lack of understanding of how ET is partitioned into its constituent fluxes—transpiration (T) and evaporation (E)—across a wide range of bio-climatic conditions, because these components are differentially impacted by changing temperature, CO2, and hydrologic regimes (Fisher et al., 2017; Lawrence et al., 2007; Miralles et al., 2016; Wang & Dickinson, 2012). Studies have reported a large divergence of global T:ET ratio (Coenders-Gerrits et al., 2014; Fatici & Pappas, 2017; Wang et al., 2014; Wei et al., 2017), indicating a severe lack of understanding of the dynamics of ET partitioning and its underlying controlling factors. This level of uncertainty impairs the ability to predict both future ET budgets (due to the differential sensitivity of E and T to environmental forcings) as well as how ET will dampen or amplify climate feedbacks (Fisher et al., 2017). It will also inhibit our ability to optimize sustainable water allocations for food production in a changing climate to meet the demands of a growing population (Foley et al., 2011).

A major source of difficulty to partition the observed ET (at the canopy scale), which is typically measured with EC techniques, into the desired E and T is due to a lack of constraining information. This issue is, in many ways, similar to the classical problem of NEE partitioning (Section 4.3.1). Existing efforts utilizing SIF to constrain transpiration is highly empirical (Section 3.6), and involves many assumptions (Stoy et al., 2019; discussed in Sun et al., 2023). Currently, there are no studies explicitly utilizing SIF to partition ET in a mechanistic way, and also bypassing the key assumptions. A promising approach is to couple the light-reaction based GPP estimation derived from SIF (e.g., equation 10 in Sun et al., 2023), with gS models and energy balance models, in order to dynamically close the system of equations. In this regard, thermal remote sensing would be also helpful to constrain the energy balance model (e.g., leaf/canopy temperature; Anderson et al., 1997), while concurrent SIF can anchor GPP, both in a mechanistic way. ET partitioning can be further combined with NEE partitioning above, to fully take advantage of the constraining power of shared information contained in ET and NEE fluxes and in the meantime preserving the authentic functional relationships among constituent components and their respective sensitivity to environmental forcings.

Stress monitoring and early warning
To unleash the potential of SIF in assisting operational stress monitoring and early warning systems for informing stakeholders and policy-making, it is crucial to have real-time SIF observations at high temporal frequency (e.g., sub-daily) and fine spatial resolution. The diurnal SIF capability from GEO satellites, for example, TEMPO (Section 4.2.1), holds potential to reveal both the short-term physiological dynamics and long-term impacts. Exploration along this line can already be started with platforms like OCO-3 or synthetic simulations with observing system simulation experiments (OSSEs)-type systems (Somkuti et al., 2021). To concurrently alleviate the issues of coarse spatial resolution (which is the case for geostationary satellite), data fusion with other types of spaceborne observations available at fine resolutions (e.g., reflectance, thermal, radar) with state-of-the-art ML techniques (Gensheimer et al., 2022) are worth research exploration. Elucidating the mechanisms in response to stress, especially co-occurring events, requires effective synergy of different sensing techniques (e.g., SIF, thermal, hyperspectral, lidar) along with mechanistic models/understanding.

4.3.3 Innovations in agricultural and forestry management applications

Agriculture and forest management must rapidly adapt to face challenges including extreme climate events, shifting prevalence of diseases and pests, changes in water availability and temperatures. Two main and complementary avenues of agricultural and forest management research focus on climate adaptation and mitigation. The adaptation strategy focuses on identifying or developing plant species and cultivars, as well as management practices, which are better suited for future climate conditions through a better understanding of G×E×M interaction in phenotyping studies. The mitigation strategy focuses on developing and improving regional or site-specific plant and environmental monitoring systems which can alert when and how to adjust management practice, for example, by knowledge guided fertilization, optimized pesticide and herbicide use and irrigation schemes. SIF could be an advantageous tool for both adaptation and mitigation strategies, due to the critical nature and sensitivity of photosynthesis for plant health and productivity.

Climate change adaptation
While fluorescence-based phenotyping approaches are already widely included in laboratory, greenhouse and field trials (Murchie et al., 2023), with the potential to derive a mechanistic approach for estimating chlorophyll content and other physiological indices (http://www.slopex.org) at bench and field scale, the potential of SIF monitoring stress and change, as an additional information source, is evident.
et al., 2018), airborne-based hyperspectral scanners capable of assessing plant traits including SIF for operational stress detection in the context of plant phenotyping only recently emerged (Belwalkar et al., 2022). For example, Belwalkar et al. (2022) demonstrated that hyperspectral airborne imaging spectrometers of 5–6 nm FWHM can quantify the spatial variability of SIF linked to nutrient deficiencies towards improving plant phenotyping. Airborne systems capable of SIF retrieval are well-adapted for precision agriculture applications but often lack spatial resolution for the relatively small plots of field phenotyping (Krämer et al., 2021). UAV-based SIF sensors (imaging and non-imaging) are currently being developed with the goal to close this technological gap and it can be expected that such UAV-based SIF approaches will become available for a wider use in field phenotyping science (Bendig et al., 2018; Chang, Zhou, et al., 2020; MacArthur et al., 2014; Quirós-Vargas et al., 2020; Wang, Suomalainen, et al., 2021).

On the other hand, active fluorescence techniques are often lacking high throughput capabilities for large field-phenotyping experiments. Recently laser-based scanning fluorescence systems have been shown to potentially overcome this limitation of throughput, but thus far only a very limited number of studies using active fluorescence approaches are available (Keller et al., 2021; Zendonadi Dos Santos et al., 2021). Developing SIF-capable phenotyping systems may allow for rapid screening of genotypes with high photosynthetic capacity under different environmental and/or management conditions in statistically relevant settings. In the future, such efforts can be further coupled with the analytical modeling framework in Sun et al. (2023) to uncover the biological (both plant structure and function) drivers.

**Climate change mitigation**

The rapidly exploding availability of ground and satellite measurements for crops and forests is currently revolutionizing management practice by enabling the farmer and forest manager to detect both abiotic and biotic stresses earlier than conventional approaches. Here, SIF may play a unique role in identifying early signs of vegetation stress, before classical measurement techniques (reflectance- or thermal-based approaches) become sensitive (Damm et al., 2022).

Studies have demonstrated the potential of SIF for monitoring of water stress in fruit orchards (Zarco-Tejada et al., 2016), potato (Xu et al., 2021), heat stress in wheat (Song et al., 2020), and disease in olive orchards (Poblete et al., 2020; Zarco-Tejada et al., 2018) and oak forests (Hernández-Clemente et al., 2017). SIF may also play a unique role in early detection and improving the specificity of disease detection by complementing hyper- and multispectral methods (Mahlein et al., 2019). For example, SIF has also been demonstrated critical in the early detection of pathogen-induced stress due to the reduction in photosynthesis along with the degradation and reduction of the concentration of plant pigments such as anthocyanins, xanthophylls, chlorophyll and carotenoids quantified using airborne imaging spectroscopy (Zarco-Tejada et al., 2018). In such a study, SIF was the fifth ranked plant trait used in the ML model to detect all levels of infection, but ranked first at the initial (pre-visual) versus advanced pathogen infection stages. This indicates that SIF is modulated by the infection level, and is important to differentiate between biotic- and abiotic-induced stress (Zarco-Tejada et al., 2021). Moreover, the sensitivity of SIF to pathogen-induced stress in vascular diseases was shown across plants infected with *Verticillium dahliae* and *Xylella fastidiosa* using airborne imaging spectroscopy (Poblete et al., 2021).

### 4.3.4 | Innovations in socioeconomic and sustainability applications

**Socioeconomic assessment and intervention**

The core promise that SIF offers is a scalable measure in time and space, binded in its functional and quantifiable relationship with productivity, unlike VIs. A “scalable” approach is highly desired as it would not require much in-situ data for model recalibration, which can be cost- or logistically-prohibitive to obtain especially in regions with poor communications, low-quality transportation infrastructure or suffering from active conflicts (Browne et al., 2021). The potential “scalability” of the SIF-based approach to link between GPP and agricultural production, rangeland health, and carbon sequestration (and also carbon accounting/trading) make SIF a highly promising Earth Observation (EO) technique to aid poverty targeting/intervention, agricultural index insurance design, conservation finance metrics, and carbon-neutral goals. Advances in these applications also open up important new opportunities to extend SIF application to broader policy questions, from addressing food insecurity and rural poverty in the Global South, to monitoring forest degradation for conservation finance, and to identifying infectious disease hotspots based on inferred ecosystem structure and function.

**Urban sustainability**

Maintaining and enhancing urban ecosystem health is a critical step towards sustainable urban development under a changing climate. A degraded urban ecosystem combined with a rapid urban expansion can decrease NPP (Liu et al., 2019). The spatial or temporal anomalies of SIF in complex urban landscapes can potentially assist understanding the feedbacks between urban vegetation and the microclimate under urban environmental stresses (e.g., drought and heat extremes). However, depicting such variations from much weaker background urban SIF signals (due to relatively low coverage of vegetation) requires more precise retrievals from satellite platforms. This also requires isolation of the urban vegetation SIF signals from building interference, which can impact the illumination-viewing geometry in tall building districts. An initial attempt (Paschalis et al., 2021) used the simple urban–rural contrasts among cities to characterize the spatial variability of evaporative cooling using SIF but was limited by its coarse spatial resolution. Growing spatial resolutions with improved SIF retrieval algorithms over heterogeneous landscapes can offer new research opportunities on intra-urban variability of urban vegetation health and the...
consequent capability in mitigating heat and air pollution, which is vital in human living environments. SIF measurement that can reveal biological processes in all forms of urban greenery is much needed for effective management and long-term development of sustainable community-level urban infrastructures in the context of climate change and environmental justice.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

This review synthesizes progress in SIF observations/instrumentation while highlighting diverse applications of SIF datasets in ecology, agriculture, hydrology, climate, and socioeconomics research domains. This synthesis identifies inconsistent/contradictory findings in SIF literature, provides clarifications on these issues, and offers insights, from the data perspective integrated with the theoretical perspective, on innovations needed to fill knowledge gaps in utilizing SIF to inform ecosystem structure, function, and service under climate change. Key points this review aims to deliver are:

- **Data uncertainty**: Accurate interpretation of the functional relationships between SIF and other ecological indicators is contingent upon complete understanding of the SIF data quality and uncertainty. Biases and uncertainties in SIF observations can significantly confound interpretation of their relationships and how such relationships respond to environmental variations. Controlling data uncertainties requires coordinated efforts of SIF-specific instrumentation design, uncertainty quantification, tracing, and documentation. For example, despite the many merging value-added SIF products, their accuracy and credibility require further investigation, given the many yet-to-be-resolved uncertainties in native SIF retrievals (against which value-added SIF products were trained) and well-documented low transferability of ML algorithms in time and space.

- **Data network coordination and synthesis**: To promote the mechanistic understanding of SIF and its relationship with other ecological indicators across biomes and hydroclimatic regimes, a dedicated effort is needed to establish a global network with in-situ and airborne SIF instruments, with standardized protocols to minimize discrepancies resulting from instrument configuration/setup, retrieval methods, atmospheric contamination, sun-canopy-viewing geometries, and so forth.

- **Improving in-situ SIF observing capability in “data desert” regions**: More in-situ SIF observations are needed in regions with the largest uncertainties in carbon-climate feedbacks or with high socioeconomic vulnerability.

- **Data fusion and harmonization**: There is a need to maximize the synergy among different SIF products, and among SIF, thermal, lidar, and hyperspectral, and microwave measurements. Standardization is needed to minimize challenges in SIF post-processing such as cross-instrument calibration, overlap time, instrument degradation, footprint mismatch, and so forth.
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